arXiv:astro-ph/0404517v1 27 Apr 2004

February 2, 2008

A SURVEY FOR INFALL MOTIONS TOWARD STARLESS CORES. III. CS $(3-2)$ and DCO^+ $(2-1)$ OBSERVATIONS

Chang Won Lee[1,2], Philip C. Myers[2], & René Plume[2,3]

[1]Korea Astronomy Observatory,
61-1 Hwaam-dong, Yusung-gu, Daejon 305-348, Korea

[2]Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics,
60 Garden Street, MS 42, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

[3]University of Calgary, Department of Physics & Astronomy
2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4
E-mail: cwl@trao.re.kr, pmyers@cfa.harvard.edu, plume@ism.ucalgary.ca

ABSTRACT

We present CS(3-2) and DCO^+(2-1) observations of 94 starless cores and compare the results with previous CS(2-1) and N_2H^+(1-0) observations to study inward motions in starless cores. Eighty-four cores were detected in both CS and DCO^+ lines. A significant number of CS(3-2) profiles and a small number of DCO^+(2-1) lines show the classical "infall asymmetry" similar to that seen in CS(2-1) observations. The DCO^+(2-1) lines, however, usually show a single Gaussian peak. The integrated intensity of N_2H^+ correlates well with that of DCO^+(2-1), but poorly with that of CS(2-1) and CS(3-2), suggesting that CS suffers significantly more depletion onto grains than do either DCO^+ or N_2H^+. Despite these depletion effects, there is evidently enough optical depth for the CS(3-2) and CS(2-1) spectral lines to exhibit infall asymmetries.

The velocity shifts of the CS(3-2) and (2-1) lines with respect to N_2H^+ correlate well with each other and have similar distributions. This implies that, in many cores, systematic inward motions of gaseous material may occur over a range of density of at least a factor ~ 4.

We identify 18 infall candidates based on observations of CS(3-2), CS(2-1), DCO^+ (2-1) and N_2H^+ (1-0). The eight best candidates, L1355, L1498, L1521F, L1544, L158,

L492, L694-2, and L1155C-1, each show at least four indications of infall asymmetry and no counter-indications.

Fits of the spectra to a 2-layer radiative transfer model in ten infall candidates suggest that the median effective line-of-sight speed of the inward-moving gas is ~ 0.07 km s^{-1} for CS (3-2) and ~ 0.04 km s^{-1} for CS(2-1). Considering that the optical depth obtained from the fits is usually smaller in CS(3-2) than in (2-1) line, this may imply that CS(3-2) usually traces inner denser gas in higher inward motions than CS(2-1). However, it is also possible that this conclusion is not representative of all starless core infall candidates, due to the statistically small number analyzed here. Further line observations will be useful to test this conclusion.

Subject headings: ISM: Globules; ISM: Kinematics and Dynamics; Stars: Formation

1. Introduction

Starless cores are dense ($\gtrsim 10^4$ cm^{-3}) condensations in molecular clouds without any embedded Young Stellar Objects (YSOs) (e.g., Lee & Myers 1999-LM99). They are the best known candidates to possibly form stars (Tafalla et al. 1998; Lee, Myers, & Tafalla 1999-LMT99; Jijina, Myers, & Adams 1999; Lee, Myers, & Tafalla 2001-LMT01) and, therefore, provide an opportunity to study the initial conditions of star formation (Benson & Myers 1989; Ward-Thompson et al. 1994; Shirley et al. 2000; André, Ward-Thompson, & Barsony 2000).

The inward motions in starless cores are one of the essential elements needed to understand the onset of star formation. These motions can be studied by observing two kinds of molecular lines, optically thin and thick tracers, to detect the spectral "*infall asymmetry*" : a double peaked profile in which the blue component is brighter than the red one, or a skewed single blue peak in an optically thick tracer (e.g., CS2-1 and H_2CO2-1), and a symmetric single peak in an optically thin tracer (e.g., $C^{18}O$1-0 and N_2H^+1-0) (Hummer & Rybicki 1968; Leung & Brown 1977).

This method has been used quite successfully to observe some general features of inward motions in starless cores (e.g., LMT99, LMT01). The inward motions in starless cores are found to be slow (typically 0.05 - 0.1 km s^{-1}), and spatially extended (typically 0.1 pc) (LMT01) and can be partly explained by ambipolar diffusion in a super-critical core, or by turbulent dissipation (e.g., Nakano 1998; Myers & Lazarian 1998; Ciolek & Basu 2000). However, the physics of inward motions in starless cores is still not fully understood.

Different molecular species and various transitions of specific molecules are sensitive to different chemical and physical conditions and, therefore, can probe different physical regions of the infalling cores. Therefore, observations of many different species and transitions would help to widen our present knowledge of inward motions in starless cores (e.g., Onishi et al. 1999; Caselli et al. 1999; Gregersen & Evans 2000; Lee et al. 2003).

This study is a continuation of our previous systematic infall studies, which used CS(2-1) and N_2H^+(1-0) by LMT99 and LMT01. In this study we use two *new* tracers: CS(3-2) and DCO^+(2-1). The CS(3-2) line has higher critical density ($n_{cr} \approx 1.3 \times 10^6$ cm^{-3}) than CS(2-1) by a factor of about 4 (Evans 1999) and so is likely a much better tracer of dense gas. Thus, the CS(3-2) line will be a better probe of the kinematics closer to the nucleus of the core unless the optical depth of the CS(3-2) is larger than CS(2-1). DCO^+(2-1) is similar to N_2H^+(1-0); it is also a high density tracer and is usually optically thin. However, the DCO^+(2-1) has high enough opacity and is bright enough to make extensive surveys feasible. In this paper we present new survey results with CS(3-2) and DCO^+(2-1) toward starless cores and, by combining these with previous CS(2-1) and N_2H^+(1-0) data, discuss how inward motions in starless cores occur.

In §2 we explain details of the observations such as the observational equipment and methods, target selection, frequency determination of observing lines, and data reduction. Detection statistics, spectral features of CS and DCO^+, δV analysis of the observing lines, and selection of

infall candidates are described in §3. Implications of the observational results are discussed in §4. In §5 we summarize the main conclusions of this study.

2. Observations

We observed both the CS(3-2) and the DCO^+ (2-1) transitions at the NRAO 12-m telescope[1] in two seasons, 1998 June and October. Typical system temperatures were 200 - 300 K in good weather. The dual channel 2 mm receivers were used to simultaneously observe two polarizations to obtain a final spectrum with better signal-to-noise (S/N) by averaging the two polarization states. Two different autocorrelators were used during the two seasons. The hybrid autocorrelator operating with a 12.5 MHz bandwidth was used for the observations in June and was replaced by the millimeter autocorrelator (MAC) with a 200 MHz bandwidth for the observations in October. Both correlators give spectra with a 24 KHz resolution, corresponding to ~ 0.05 km s^{-1} at 145 GHz. The beam size (FWHM) of the 12-m telescope is $43''$ at 145 GHz. The spectra are presented in T_R^* - antenna temperature corrected for atmospheric attenuation, radiative loss, and rear-ward and forward scattering and spillover. The corrected main beam efficiency $\eta_{\rm mb}^*$ (0.85 at 147 GHz) is used to convert from the T_R^* scale to the main beam brightness temperature scale. Integration time varied from about 10 minutes to about 1 hour among sources and resulted in S/N ratios greater than 20 for most sources. Frequency switching mode was used with a 3.1 MHz throw for sky subtraction of spectra.

Most targets were selected from LM99, particularly if they had strong CS (2-1) lines. However, an additional fifteen sources with low declinations (which were not observable from the Haystack observatory) are observed here for the first time. The target list is given in Table 1 with details of the source selection noted in the last column. The starless cores in this list are all within a few hundred pc, dense (between $10^4 \sim 10^5$ cm^{-3}; LMT01), compact (optical sizes of $0.05 \sim 0.35$ pc; LM99), and have narrow line widths ($\Delta V_{\rm FWHM}$ of $N_2H^+ \sim 0.2 - 0.4$ km s^{-1}; LMT99).

Accurate frequencies for the molecular lines are always important in a quantitative study of inward motions in starless cores, since the lines are usually very narrow (LMT99 & LMT01). To determine our line frequencies we used molecular lines observed in L1512. First, the $C^{18}O$ $1-0$ line observed at the IRAM 30-m (Tafalla et al. 2004 in prep.) was used as a reference ($\nu_{rest} = 109782.173 \pm 0.002$ MHz; LMT01). This was compared with the DCO^+ $2-1$ line profile obtained with the same telescope (Caselli et al. 2004 in prep.). For quantitative comparison we used the Gaussian fit results of two spectra to determine the amount of the frequency shift for the DCO^+ $2-1$ profile to coincide with $C^{18}O$ $1-0$ profile. From this experiment we obtain a DCO^+ $2-1$ line frequency of $\nu_{rest} = 144077.303 \pm 0.002$ MHz. The uncertainty is an error

[1]The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation, operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

propagated in the process of deriving the value of the frequency shift to the determined frequency of DCO^+ $2-1$ line.

The frequency of CS 3-2 was then determined by comparing our observed DCO^+2-1 profile with the CS 3-2 profile. Since their spectral shapes are very close to Gaussian, it was straightforward to determine the frequency for the CS 3-2 line; $\nu_{rest} = 146969.029 \pm 0.002$ MHz. Note that this frequency is in good agreement with the value independently determined from laboratory measurements (Gottlieb, Myers, & Thaddeus 2003- 146969.026 ± 0.001 MHz).

All data reduction of spectral lines was performed using the 'CLASS' reduction software (Buisson et al. 1994).

3. Results

3.1. Detection Statistics

Detailed results of our observations for all 94 targets are summarized in Table 1. Ninety-one out of 94 sources were detected in CS(3–2). Eighty-four sources were detected in both CS(3–2) and DCO^+ (2-1) lines. All spectra are displayed in Fig. 1.

3.2. CS Spectra

In this section we describe the features of the CS(3-2) spectra and compare them with CS(2-1) line profiles from LMT99. As was true with CS(2-1), CS(3-2) was easily detected in nearly all the sources, indicating that CS is a ubiquitous molecule in cold molecular cores. Most of the CS(3-2) profiles also show similar features to those seen in CS(2-1) and, similar to the CS(2-1) lines, the CS(3-2) lines have distinct spectral line shapes which can be classified as follows;

1. Two peaks, with the blue peak brighter than the red; e.g., L1521F, L1544, L1582A, L1689B, L234E-S, L429-1, L429-1, L1155C-1, and L1063.

2. A blue peak with a red shoulder; e.g., L1355, L1498, L1399-1, L1445, TMC2, L1552, L183, L694-2, and L1148.

3. A single symmetric peak; e.g., B217-2, L1507A-1, L1517A, and L1512-1.

4. A red peak with a blue shoulder; e.g., L1399-2, and CB246-2.

5. Two peaks with the red component brighter than the blue one.

6. Two peaks with similar brightness.

However, there are also some differences between the CS(2-1) and CS(3-2) line profiles. Notably, the CS(2-1) line is often (for about 10 sources) seen to have broad wings which are not

seen in CS(3-2). Spectra for L429-1, L183, and L1251 are such typical examples (Fig. 2). Another difference is that the CS(3-2) observations have no members in either group 5 or group 6, while the (2-1) profiles have at least one core in group 5 and five cores in group 6.

We also find some sources for which the classification, as determine by the CS(3-2) line, is different from that determined by CS(2-1). L1445, L183 (named as L183B in LMT99), and L694-2 which were placed in group 1 by the CS(2-1) observations are now members of group 2. L234E-S and L1155C-1 which were determined to be in group 2 by CS(2-1) observations are now members of group 1. CB246-2 which was in group 5 by CS(2-1) is now in group 4. L429-1 and L1544 which were in group 6 by CS(2-1) now show asymmetric double peaks characteristic of infall and so are now classified as members of group 1. Finally, L1063 which had the typical symmetric profiles characteristic of group 3 in CS(2-1) now shows infall asymmetry (group 1) in CS(3-2).

3.3. DCO^+ Spectra

DCO^+(2-1) lines usually show a single Gaussian peak, indicating that they are likely optically thin. However, there are also several sources with asymmetric DCO^+ profiles caused by higher optical depth. Such sources are (1) L1521F, L1544, L1696B, L1709B-2, L158, L63, L492, and L694-2 which show spectra with either skewed infall asymmetry or a blue peak and red shoulder, (2) L234E-S which shows a spectrum with a red peak and blue shoulder, and (3) L183 which shows a spectrum with two peaks, the red peak brighter than the blue peak.

The spectral features of DCO^+(2-1) and CS(3-2) vary quite a bit from source to source. Therefore, we list sources in the following groups that highlight different combinations of CS(3-2) and DCO^+(2-1) spectral features: (a) sources with symmetric profiles in both lines, e.g., L1521B-2, B217-2, CB22, L1507A-1, CB23, L1517A, L1512-1, and CB130-1, (b) sources with asymmetric CS and symmetric DCO^+ profiles, e.g., TMC2, L1689B, L429-2, L1148, L1155C-1, and CB246-2, (c) sources with similarly asymmetric profiles in both lines, e.g., L1709B-2, L492, and L694-2, (d) sources with double peaked infall asymmetry in CS and single peaked infall asymmetry in DCO^+, e.g., L1521F and L1544, and (e) sources showing opposite asymmetries in CS and DCO^+, e.g., L183, and L234E-S.

There is a strong tendency for sources that show DCO^+ infall asymmetry to also show CS infall asymmetry. Of the eight such DCO^+ cores listed in group (1) above, five also show infall asymmetry in CS(3-2), while two (L1696B and L1709B-2) show no significant asymmetry in CS line.

3.4. δV analysis for CS(3-2) and DCO^+(2-1)

The degree of spectral asymmetry in the CS profiles can be quantitively measured by the normalized velocity difference [$\delta V_{CS32} = (V_{CS32} - V_{N_2H^+})/\Delta V_{N_2H^+}$] between CS(3-2) and N_2H^+(1-0) (Mardones et al. 1997). V_{CS32} is the velocity determined from a Gaussian fit to the brighter spectral component of the CS(3-2) profile, after masking the less bright component or the skewed one by ignoring such parts of the profile. $V_{N_2H^+}$ and $\Delta V_{N_2H^+}$ are the velocity and FWHM of N_2H^+(1-0) taken from LMT99. Here $V_{N_2H^+}$ is slightly changed from LMT99 since we use the more accurate frequency of N_2H^+ as determined in LMT01. Table 1 lists the line intensity and V_{CS32} for CS(3-2) in column 4 & 6, and the derived δV_{CS32} in column 8. Fig. 3 compares the distribution of δV_{CS32} from our sample with that of δV_{CS21} from LMT99 (slightly revised by using the frequencies determined in LMT01) and shows that δV_{CS32} is significantly skewed to the blue side ($\delta V_{CS} < 0$) and is similar in appearance to the δV_{CS21} distribution. In addition, the average ($\pm$ s.e.m – standard error of the mean of the distribution) of δV_{CS32} is -0.14 ± 0.03 which is also similar to the average of δV_{CS21} (-0.13 ± 0.04). Finally Fig. 4 compares the δV's of CS(3-2) with those of CS(2-1) for each source, showing a good correlation (correlation coefficient; r =0.79) between the two transitions.

We also derive the normalized velocity difference (δV_{DCO^+}) between DCO^+(2-1) and N_2H^+(1-0) (where the N_2H^+ observations are also taken from LMT99). Fig. 5 shows the distribution of δV_{DCO^+} which appears to be quite symmetric, unlike the distribution seen in CS (Fig. 3). This implies that the statistical occurrence of inward motions in starless cores as traced by DCO^+ is lower than it is for CS, which may be partially due to low optical depths in the DCO^+ line.

3.5. Infall candidates

The determination of infall candidates is one of most important objectives in this kind of survey. With more molecular line data we can better identify infall candidates. Therefore, in this section we combine the CS(3-2) and DCO^+ data from this survey with the CS(2-1) and N_2H^+ data of LMT99. To identify infall candidates we use the following spectral properties (as used in LMT99); (1) the values of δV for CS(2-1), CS(3-2), and DCO^+(2-1) with respect to the N_2H^+(1-0), (2) the intensity ratios (T_b/T_r) of the blue to red components in double-peaked CS and DCO^+ spectra, and the main component in N_2H^+ spectra. These properties are flagged by "color codes": 1 for $\delta V \leq -5\sigma_{\delta V}$ (a blue normalized velocity difference), 0 for $|\delta V| < 5\sigma_{\delta V}$ (neutral), and -1 for $\delta V \geq 5\sigma_{\delta V}$ (a red normalized velocity difference). Property (2) is indicated by a 1 for $T_b/T_r > 1 + \sigma$ (blue peak stronger than the red peak), 0 for $1 - \sigma \leq T_b/T_r \leq 1 + \sigma$ (peaks of equal strength), and -1 for $T_b/T_r < 1 - \sigma$ (red peak stronger than the blue peak), where the values of T_b and T_r were derived from Gaussian fits to each component of the spectra with double peaks (or single peak with a distinct shoulder).

Table 2 lists the results of the spectral properties with their associated numerical "color codes". These numerical values enable us to select infall candidates in a more quantitative fashion since, it is now possible to calculate the total color code of each source simply as the algebraic sum of the individual color codes associated with each spectral property. The last two columns in Table 2 give the total color code (C) and the total number (N) of spectra considered in the calculation of the total color code for each core. The combination of this information allows us to more accurately identify infall candidates even between cores that may have the same value of C: a greater N indicates a slightly stronger infall candidate. To select infall candidates, we put a slight emphasis on the N parameter. This is mainly because an increase in N usually corresponds to a detection of N_2H^+, which is crucial to the δV analysis of CS and DCO^+ and also allows us to set an additional flag for T_b/T_r in the analysis of the N_2H^+ main hyperfine line. In addition, detection of the N_2H^+ line indicates the existence of dense gas inside the source which increases the likelihood of star formation activity. For example, although L1445 and L1689B are classified as possible infall candidates with the same value of C (i.e. 2), we believe L1689B to be a slightly better infall candidate because it has more data (N=5) included in the color code calculation (due to the detection of the high density tracer N_2H^+). Therefore, with this rating scheme we can more accurately identify potential infall candidates than we could in LMT99.

Sources with C=2 or 3 have somewhat 'blue' spectral properties, and we define those as *possible infall candidates*. Such candidates are **L1445**, **TMC2**, **TMC1**, **L1552**, **L183**, **L1696A**, **L1689B**, **L234E-S**, **L429-1**, and **L1234**.

Note that, while L1689B was classified as a strong infall candidate in LMT01, it is not in this paper. The main reason is that this source is so weak in N_2H^+ that there is a large uncertainty in the determination of δV. As can be seen in Table 1, L1689B has a large negative δV, but also has a large propagation uncertainty (mainly a result of the low S/N of the N_2H^+ observations) which gives $\delta V/\sigma$ = -0.58/0.12 = -4.8. If this source had been brighter, it almost certainly would have been classified as one of the strong infall candidates. Again, this shows that the detection of N_2H^+ is an important factor in the determination of infall candidates.

L234E-S is the same source as L234E-1 which was selected as infall candidate from LMT99. Here L183 and L234E-S have a mixture of 'blue' and 'red' spectral features, although they have a total color code of 2, meaning that they have different spectral shapes in the different tracers. We note that two "possible" infall candidates TMC1 and L1234 have C=2 due to their line center shifts but they are unusual in that their profiles do not have any significant line asymmetry. More studies will be needed to better understand physical situation of these sources.

Sources with C > 3 are defined as *strong infall candidates* in the sense that nearly all their spectral properties are given 'blue' flags. Such sources are **L1355**, **L1498**, **L1521F**, **L1544**, **L158**, **L492**, **L694-2**, and **L1155C-1**. Note that all the infall candidates suggested here have been previously selected by LMT99 and LMT01. However, L1524-4, B18-3, CB23, and L1622A-2 which were previously chosen as the infall candidates in LMT99 have been dropped from the

current list because they do not exhibit 'blue' spectral features either in their value of δV_{CS32}, or in the intensity ratio (T_b/T_r) of the CS(3-2) profiles. Although L1521F is listed as a strong infall candidate from our rating system, it is a very complicated source and difficult to understand. L1512F shows typical infall asymmetry in high angular resolution CS(2-1) observations (LMT99), HCO^+(3-2) & (4-3) (Onishi et al. 1999), CS(3-2) (this study), and in the main component of N_2H^+(1-0) (LMT01). However it also shows extended red asymmetry in the CS(2-1) maps (LMT01) and a mixture of 'blue' and 'red' spectral features in the CS(3-2) maps (Lee et al. 2003 in prep.). Further detailed observations using various tracers are being made to clarify its kinematics (e.g., Shinnaga et al. 2004, Caselli et al. 2004 in prep.).

4. Discussion

4.1. Infall speeds

We derive infall speeds for a sub-sample of cores via fits to their CS spectra using a two-layer model consisting of a cool (2.7 K) absorbing front screen moving away from us and a warm emitting rear layer approaching us with the same speed (see LMT01). Our non-linear least squares fitting routine has 5 free parameters, peak optical depth, infall speed, velocity dispersion, excitation temperature of the rear layer and LSR velocity. In the model, both layers are assumed to have the same velocity dispersion and move with the same speed but in opposite direction. Note that the number of fit parameters is more than the 3 required to fit any line with a Gaussian. However, the two additional parameters are needed to specify the peak optical depth and the infall speed. Thus, this model has the least number of parameters possible to describe a line with infall asymmetry due to self-absorption and internal motion. Indeed, each parameter reflects different aspects of the line profile and so can be well constrained by the fitting procedure. The line width, LSR velocity, and the excitation temperature are very well determined by the width, centroid velocity, and intensity scale of the observed spectrum. The peak optical depth is sensitive to the depth of the self-absorption dip and so fits to spectra with a clear dip or red shoulder can easily constrain the optical depth. In addition, since the first four parameters can be constrained by fits to the spectra, the last parameter - infall speed - can be reliably determined with good precision. This is the main reason that, to derive infall speed, we fit only spectra with clear double peaks, or a blue peak and a clear red shoulder.

Fits were conducted for the CS(3-2) profiles of 12 sources showing such infall asymmetry. Fig. 6 shows that our model easily reproduces the observed spectral features. Note that in the figure we did not fit a model profile to the CS(2-1) spectra of two sources (L1355 and L1155C-1) although they do show infall asymmetry. This is because they show neither clear double peaks nor a red shoulder in their spectra and so the fits to their spectra would result in large errors in the derived infall speeds. Typical 1-D infall speeds for the sources modeled are $\sim 0.02 - 0.13$ km s^{-1}.

We also obtained the infall speeds for a sub-sample of 8 sources using fits to the CS(2-1)

spectra which show similar asymmetry to that of CS(3-2). The resulting infall speeds derived from our model fits to both the CS(3-2) and CS(2-1) observations are compared in Fig. 7. An interesting feature in Fig. 7 is that the CS(3-2) lines generally have higher infall speeds than CS(2-1); typically ~ 0.07 km s^{-1} for CS (3-2) and ~ 0.04 km s^{-1} for CS (2-1).

We believe that this is not dominated by fitting errors to the infall speeds since the difference between the CS(2-1) and CS(3-2) speeds is much larger than the fitting error. As discussed in LMT01, we tried to estimate the fitting error of the infall speed by taking two best-fit model spectra of CS(2-1) and CS(3-2) for L1521F, adding random noise with the same rms as the observed noise, and re-fitting a model to the spectra. Fig. 8-(a) plots the distribution of infall speeds obtained from the best-fit for twenty synthetic CS(2-1) and (3-2) spectra in L1521F. This figure shows that the two distributions of infall speeds are clearly separated. The estimated 1 sigma uncertainties of the distribution of infall speeds are about ~ 0.001 km s^{-1} for the CS(2-1) and ~ 0.003 km s^{-1} for the CS(3-2), which are negligibly small compared to the difference (~ 0.03 km s^{-1}) between the infall speeds for two lines. Note that most of spectra used in the fitting procedure are as good as the L1521F spectra. Thus, their fit results are thought to be also as good as for L1521F, suggesting that the tendency for CS(3-2) line to trace higher inward speeds than CS(2-1) is real.

One might question how the infall speed can be determined with precision as high as indicated here. We note that the infall speed, like the centroid velocity, is sensitive to emission from the entire spectrum, so with sufficient signal to noise ratio one can determine the infall speed to a fraction of a channel width. Note that this precision, due to random uncertainties, is not the same as the accuracy, due to systematic uncertainties. Detailed comparisons show that the accuracy of these estimates of two-layer infall speed, with respect to the values from a more sophisticated Monte Carlo model, is likely to be a few 0.01 km s^{-1}, for spectra with resolution and signal to noise ratio similar to those considered here (De Vries and Myers 2004, in preparation). Furthermore the infall speed from the two-layer model usually increases monotonically with the infall speed from the detailed models. Thus even if the two-layer infall speed is systematically incorrect by a few 0.01 km s^{-1}, the sign of its gradient with optical depth, which we derive in this paper, is probably unaffected.

Figure 8-(b) and (c) are another test to demonstrate how different infall speeds are required to produce the difference between the CS(2-1) and CS(3-2) line profiles. The solid line in Figure 8-(b) shows the best χ^2 fit to the CS(2-1) spectrum in L1521F, which produces an infall speed of 0.014 km s^{-1} and a good match to the observed spectral line profile. Similarly, the solid line in Figure 8-(c) shows the best χ^2 fit to the CS(3-2) spectrum in L1521F, producing an infall speed of 0.045 km s^{-1} and a good fit to the observed spectral line profile. However, the dashed line in Figure 8-(b) shows the spectrum obtained if we attempt to fit the CS(2-1) spectrum with the CS(3-2) velocity (and vice-versa in Figure 8-(c)). Casual inspection of these fits, as well as the χ^2 values, reveals that small changes in the infall velocity result in poor fits to the spectra. This strongly suggests that the difference between the CS(2-1) and CS(3-2) infall velocities is

statistically significant, and that the CS(3-2) line traces higher infall speeds than does the CS(2-1) line.

This analysis with the 2-layer model indicates that the CS 3-2 profiles require slightly but distinctly higher speeds than the 2-1 profiles. However it still remains to be determined whether these higher speeds correspond to denser gas in the inner regions of the cores. Higher speeds associated with denser gas if the line formation were dominated by excitation effects, since the centrally condensed structure of the core implies that the excitation temperature decreases outward, and since the 3-2 line has a higher critical density than the 2-1 line by a factor ~ 4 (Evans 1999). But the higher speeds could also correspond to less dense gas, if the line formation were influenced more by optical depth effects, and if the optical depth of the 3-2 line exceeds significantly that of the 2-1 line (e.g. Lucas 1976). The other possible problem in our analysis is resolution difference between CS(2-1) ($\sim 25''$) and (3-2) data ($\sim 43''$) which may affect the profile shapes that we observe. To deal with these problems, we use the FCRAO data for CS(2-1) (LMT01) which have similar beam resolution ($\sim 52''$) to that of CS (3-2) data. Using the same two layers model, we derive the infall speed and optical depths for FCRAO CS(2-1) lines. Table 3 lists the parameters obtained from the fits for all the data, including 12m data (CS 3-2), Haystack and FCRAO data (CS 2-1). The table clearly indicates that, regardless of the different beam resolution of the data, the CS(3-2) line tends to trace higher infall speed than the CS(2-1) line, and that the CS(3-2) lines have lower optical depth than CS(2-1) lines for all sources except for L158 and L1445.

We also believe that, as the case for the infall speeds, the optical depth derived from the fits is not dominated by fitting errors. In performing the error analysis for the infall speed in L1521F (see above), the optical depths for each of the twenty CS(2-1) and (3-2) spectra were obtained at the same time. Fig. 9-(a) shows the distribution of such optical depths, indicating that the two distributions of the optical depths are clearly separated. The estimated 1 sigma uncertainties of the distribution are about ~ 0.035 for the CS(2-1) and ~ 0.03 for the CS(3-2), which are very small compared to the difference (~ 0.24) between the optical depths. Fig. 9-(b) and (c) also confirm that the difference is statistically significant as it was for the infall speeds (Fig. 8-b and c).

Fig. 10 visualizes the distribution of the sources in infall speed difference versus optical depth difference between two CS(3-2) and (2-1) lines. The data consist of seven points for FCRAO Data and eight points for Haystack data. Data points from the same core are joined by a line. As shown, nearly all of the data points (11 of 15) and majority of the cores (6 1/2 out of 10) lie in the upper right quadrant, the regime where infall speed increases inward, indicating that the 3-2 line usually traces gas which is closer to the core center and which has faster infall speed than does the 2-1 line. This means that the infall speed generally increases inward for this sample and these lines. Note that this trend is evident in both the FCRAO and Haystack data, and so apparently the change in resolution between these telescopes does not reverse the tendency for points to occupy the upper right quadrant in Fig. 10.

In summary the conclusion that CS(3-2) traces faster and inner gas than CS(2-1) seems now reliable at least in infall candidates considered among our sample. However, we are still cautious of whether this is necessarily true of most starless cores, or even of most infall candidates, because of the small number of objects involved in our analysis. Further detailed observations of more infall candidates with the same lines are needed to test whether the conclusion generally apply to starless cores or most infall candidates. Furthermore, our conclusion may depend on many factors such as observing lines and should be further tested. For example infall speeds from these CS observations will be able to be compared with those from high-S/N observations of "low-depletion" species like N_2H^+ and DCO^+ (see the section 4.3) if they show enough infall asymmetry. Further such line observations are necessarily required.

4.2. Implication of the similar δV distribution for CS(3-2) and CS(2-1)

Fig. 3 and 4 provide important clues as to how inward motions occur. Given the expectation that CS(3-2) is a better probe of the denser inner region, one might expect a more skewed δV distribution for CS(3-2) than for CS(2-1). However, the δV distribution for CS(3-2) is found to be statistically very similar to that for CS(2-1) (Fig. 3). What does this imply about inward motions in cores? We saw in the previous section that CS(3-2) traces faster infalling gas than CS(2-1) by typically ~ 0.03 km s^{-1}. The increase in infall velocity would result in a similar increase in line velocity which was used to compute δV. Note, however, that this increase is not that great compared to the N_2H^+ line width, typically 0.3 km s^{-1}. Therefore the typical increase in δV is about 0.03/0.3, or 0.1, or only about half of a bin size in the histograms in Fig. 3 which explains why the histograms look similar despite the higher critical density and higher infall speed for CS(3-2) compared to (2-1). The similar δV distribution between CS(3-2) and CS(2-1) may suggest that, in many cores, inward-moving gas extends over a substantial range of core densities. Furthermore, the good correlation between δV_{CS21} and δV_{CS32} (Fig. 4) suggests that the lower density and higher density gas probed by the 2-1 and 3-2 lines respectively move together, in a systematic rather than random fashion.

4.3. Depletion of CS and DCO^+

The CS molecule is known to easily deplete out of the gas phase by adsorbing onto dust in cold dense cores (e.g., Bergin & Langer 1997). Therefore, it can be argued that CS cannot be used to probe the kinematics in the nuclei of infalling cores. N_2H^+, however, does not seem to suffer from the effects of depletion as readily as CS (Tafalla et al. 2002; Bergin & Langer 1997). Recent mapping surveys of several starless cores have shown that the DCO^+ emission usually has a similar intensity distribution to that of N_2H^+ (Lee et al. 2004 and Bourke et al. 2004 in prep.), suggesting that DCO^+ also does not readily deplete onto grains. Fig. 11 supports this idea. The figure compares the integrated intensities of N_2H^+, CS(2-1), and CS(3-2) of the sources.

Note that, in constructing Fig. 11, we dropped all sources with clear self-absorption features in CS(2-1) (17: number of the dropped sources), CS(3-2) (21) and DCO+(2-1) (14) to minimize any optical depth effects. The middle and bottom panels of Fig. 11 compare the N_2H^+ integrated intensities with that of CS(3-2) and CS(2-1) and show weak to negligible correlations [r =0.54 between N_2H^+ and CS(3-2), and r =0.40 for N_2H^+ and CS(2-1)], suggesting the possibility that CS is depleting out onto grains. However, we should note that despite the strong likelihood of CS depletion in the cores we observe, there is evidently still enough optical depth, excitation gradient, and relative motion to give the CS (2-1) and (3-2) spectral lines an infall asymmetry. It will need a more detailed chemical evolution models that self-consistently solve for radiative transfer effects to specify the extent and degree of this depletion.

On the other hand, the upper panel of Fig. 11 compares the N_2H^+ and DCO^+ integrated intensities and shows a stronger correlation (r =0.75) which suggests that the DCO^+ does not suffer from significant depletion (or, at least, only depletes as much as N_2H^+).

5. Summary

We present the results of a systematic single pointing survey of CS(3-2) and DCO^+(2-1) emission in starless cores. Eighty-four of the observed 94 targets were detected in both lines. Most of the CS(3-2) profiles have similar spectral line shapes to those seen in CS(2-1) by LMT99 and are usually self-absorbed. Notably, the CS(2-1) is often seen to have the broader wings than the CS(3-2). On the other hand, the DCO^+(2-1) lines usually show a single Gaussian peak, indicating low optical depths. There are, however, eight exceptional sources showing infall profiles in DCO^+(2-1). Using the spectral properties of CS(3-2) and DCO^+, together with CS(2-1) and N_2H^+(1-0) from LMT99, we introduced line asymmetry "color codes" to better identify infall candidates. Eight strong infall candidates are: L1355, L1498, L1521F, L1544, L158, L492, L694-2, and L1155C-1, and 10 possible infall candidates are: L1445, TMC2, TMC1, L1552, L183, L1696A, L1689B, L234E-S, L429-1, and L1234.

We applied a two layer radiative transfer model to the CS spectra of the infall candidates to derive infall speeds and found that CS(3-2) generally traces higher infall speeds than CS(2-1) by a small, but measurable amount (typically 0.03 km s^{-1}). The model fits generally give lower optical depth in CS(3-2) than in (2-1). All together, the higher CS(3-2) infall velocity may arise from denser gas closer to the core nucleus than (2-1). However, because of small number of sample considered in this study, we are rather cautious of whether this conclusion will apply for most of starless cores or infall candidates. Further various line observations will be necessary to confirm or refute our conclusion.

We find evidence for CS depletion from a lack of correlation between the CS and N_2H^+ (1-0) line intensities. However, the good correlation between DCO^+ and N_2H^+ intensities suggests that, like N_2H^+, DCO^+ does not suffer significantly from depletion. Regardless of depletion effects,

however, it is evident that there is still enough optical depth, excitation gradient, and relative gas motion for the CS(3-2) and CS (2-1) line profiles to exhibit infall asymmetry.

The δV distribution of CS(3-2), with respect to the N_2H^+, is found to be significantly skewed to the blue suggesting, again, that CS(3-2) traces inward motions in the cores. Interestingly, the distribution is found to be statistically very similar to the δV distribution for CS(2-1) in spite of the higher infall velocities seen in CS(3-2). This occurs because the higher infall speed for CS(3-2) is not large enough to change the histogram of δV distribution. Altogether, this means that inward motions may occur over a substantial range of core densities, and the lower density and higher density gas probed by CS(2-1) and (3-2) lines respectively move together, in a systematic rather than random fashion. On the other hand, the δV distribution of DCO^+(2-1) (with respect to N_2H^+) gives a rather symmetric δV distribution. We attribute the relative smaller incidence of line asymmetry in DCO^+(2-1) than in CS(3-2) and in CS(2-1) to lower optical depth of DCO^+(2-1) than in the CS lines.

This research was supported by NASA Origins of Solar System Program, Grant NAGW-5626. C.W.L. greatly acknowledges supports from the Basic Research Program (KOSEF R01-2003-000-10513-0) of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation, and Strategic National R&D Program (M1-0222-00-0005) from Ministry of Science and Technology, Republic of Korea. RP is also supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

REFERENCES

André, P., Ward-Thompson, D., & Barsony, M. 2000, in Protostars and Planets IV ed. Mannings, V., Boss, A.P., & Russell, S.S.(Tucson: University of Arizona Press), 59

Benson P.J., & Myers P.C. 1989, ApJS, 71, 89

Bergin, E.A., & Langer, W.D. 1997, ApJ, 486, 316

Buisson, G., Desbats, L., Duvert, G., Forveille, T., Gras, R., Guilloteau, S., Lucas, R., & Valiron, P. 1994, CLASS Manual (Grenoble: IRAM)

Ciolek, G.E., & Basu, S. 2000, ApJ, 529, 925

Evans, N. J. 1999, ARAA, 37

Foster, P. N., & Chevalier, R. A. 1993, ApJ, 416, 303

Gregersen, E.M., & Evans, N. J. 2000, ApJ, 538, 260

Gottlieb, C. A., Myers, P. C., & Thaddeus, P. 2003, ApJ, 588, 655

Hummer, D., & Rybicki, G.B. 1968, ApJ, 153, 107

Jijina, J., Myers, P.C., & Adams, F.C. 1999, ApJS, 125, 161

Lee, C. W., & Myers, P.C. 1999, ApJS, 123, 233 (LM99)

Lee, C. W., Myers, P.C., & Tafalla, M. 1999, ApJ, 526, 788 (LMT99)

Lee, C. W., Myers, P.C., & Tafalla, M. 2001, ApJS, 136, 703 (LMT01)

Lee, J.-E., Evans, N.J., Shirley, Y., & Tatematsu, K. 2003, ApJ, 583, 789

Leung, C.M., & Brown, R.B. 1977, ApJ, 214, L73

Lucas, R. 1976, A&A, 46, L473

Mardones, D., Myers, P.C., Tafalla, M., Wilner, D.J., Bachiller, R., & Garay, G. 1997, ApJ, 489, 71

Myers, P.C., & Lazarian, A. 1998, ApJ, 507, L157

Nakano, T. 1998, ApJ, 494, 587

Onishi, T., Mizuno, A., & Fukui, Y. 1999, PASJ, 51, 257

Shirley, Y., Evans, N.J., Rawlings, J.M., & Gregersen, E.M. 2000, ApJS, 131, 249

Shinnaga, H., Ohashi, N., Lee, S.-W., Moriarty-Schieven, G. H. 2003, ApJ, in press

Tafalla, M., Myers, P. C., Caselli, P., Walmsley, C. M., and Comito, C. 2002, ApJ, 569, 815

Tafalla, M., Mardones, D., Myers, P.C., Caselli, P., Bachiller, R., & Benson, P.J. 1998, ApJ, 504, 900 (T98)

Ward-Thompson, D., Scott, P. F., Hills, R.E., & André, P. 1994, ApJ, 431, 767

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v4.0.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. — CS(3-2) and DCO^+ spectra. The dashed line indicates the position of the centroid velocity as derived by a Gaussian fit to N_2H^+ $1-0$ from LMT99. Note that in the figures source names starting with "DC" are abbreviated with short ones; DC3382+164 with DC164, DC3388+165-3 with DC165-3, DC3388+165-6 with DC165-6, DC3392+161-3 with DC161-3, and DC3392+161-4 with DC161-4.

Fig. 2. — CS(3-2) and CS(2-1) spectra of three starless cores illustrating that CS(2-1) has broader wings than CS(3-2).

Fig. 3. — Histograms of the normalized velocity differences between CS(3-2) and N_2H^+ $1-0$, and CS(2-1) and N_2H^+ $1-0$.

Fig. 4. — Correlation between the normalized velocity differences of CS(3-2) and CS(2-1) for all sources.

Fig. 5. — Histogram of the normalized velocity difference (δV_{DCO^+}) between DCO^+(2-1) and N_2H^+(1-0).

Fig. 6. — Two-layer radiative transfer model fits to CS spectra in twelve infall candidates. Twelve sources for which the CS(3-2) or (2-1) profiles show infall asymmetry were chosen because their spectra have either double peaks or a blue peak with a clear red shoulder. These types of spectra allow us to accurately determine the infall speeds with small uncertainties. Note that the model was not fit to the CS(2-1) spectra for two sources (L1355 and L1155C-1), even though they show infall asymmetry, because they show neither clear double peaks nor a red shoulder in their spectra and so fits to their spectra would result in large errors in the derived infall speeds. The CS(2-1) spectra in two additional sources (L234E-S and L429-1) were not fit to the model since they do not exhibit spectral infall features.

Fig. 7. — Infall speeds derived from our two layer model fits to CS(2-1) and CS(3-2) profiles. The error bars for L1521F represent 1 sigma uncertainties of the distribution of infall speeds obtained from repeated fits of two-layer models to each twenty synthetic CS(2-1) and (3-2) spectra (as described in § 4.1).

Fig. 8. — Reliability tests of our simple two layers model in deriving infall speeds in L1521F. (a) Distribution of infall speeds derived from repeated fits of two-layer models to CS(2-1) and (3-2) spectra for L1521F. The mean and 1 sigma uncertainty of the distributions are 0.014 ± 0.001 km s^{-1} and 0.045 ± 0.003 km s^{-1} for the CS(2-1). Figures (b) and (c) compare the observed spectral line profiles to the model fits derived using both the best fit velocities for each transition (solid lines) and an arbitrary velocity (dashed lines). Solid lines in (b) and (c) indicate the best χ^2 fit to the CS(2-1) and (3-2) spectra in L1521F, producing infall speeds of 0.014 km s^{-1} and an infall speed of 0.045 km s^{-1} for (2-1) and (3-2) spectra, respectively. Dashed lines in (b) and (c) show the spectra obtained if we attempt to fit the CS(2-1) spectrum with the CS(3-2) velocity (and vice-versa), revealing that small changes in the infall velocity result in poor fits to the spectra as well as higher χ^2 values. Here the χ^2 values given in the figure are normalized with the number of degree of freedoms (107 for CS 2-1 and 78 for CS 3-2).

Fig. 9. — The same test for the optical depth as Fig. 8. (a) Distribution of optical depths derived from repeated fits of two-layer models to CS(2-1) and (3-2) spectra for L1521F. The 1 sigma uncertainty of the distributions ~ 0.035 for the CS(2-1) and ~ 0.03 for the CS(3-2). (b) and (c) are comparisons of fits of CS spectra using their best fit optical depths in L1521F with the fits of the lines using other arbitrary optical depths (here CS 3-2 optical depth for the 2-1 line and CS 2-1 optical depth for the 3-2 line). Solid lines in (b) and (c) indicate the best χ^2 fit to the CS(2-1) and (3-2) spectra in L1521F. Dashed lines in (b) and (c) shows the spectra obtained if we attempt to fit the CS(2-1) spectrum with the CS(3-2) optical depth (and vice-versa), again revealing that small changes in the optical depth cause worse fits to the spectra.

Fig. 10. — The distribution of the sources in infall speed difference ($V_{in,32} - V_{in,21}$) versus optical depth difference ($\tau_{21} - \tau_{32}$) between two CS(3-2) and (2-1) lines. Sources marked with open circles use the FCRAO CS(2-1) data while sources with filled circles use the Haystack CS(2-1) data. Data points for the same source are joined by a line.

Fig. 11. — Integrated intensities of CS, DCO^+, and N_2H^+ for starless cores. The figure shows little correlation between the CS and N_2H^+ intensities, but a fairly good correlation between DCO^+ and N_2H^+ intensities, implying ubiquitous depletion of CS but little depletion of DCO^+.

This figure "f1-1.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f1-2.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f2.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

arXiv:astro-ph/0404517v1 27 Apr 2004

TABLE 1

LINE ASYMMETRY COLORS OF STARLESS CORES[a]

Source	From δV			From T_b/T_r				rating	N
	[CS(2-1)	CS(3-2)	DCO^+(2-1)]	[CS(2-1)	CS(3-2)	DCO^+(2-1)	N_2H^+(1-0)]	index (C)	
L1333	0	0	-1	···	···	···	···	-1	3
L1355	1	1	0	1	1	···	···	4	5
L1498	1	1	0	1	1	···	···	4	5
L1399-1	0	0	0	···	1	···	···	1	4
L1521B-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1399-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1399-3	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
B217-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1521F	1	1	1	1	1	···	1	6	6
L1521-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1400A	0	1	0	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1524-4	1	0	0	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1551S-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1445	···	···	0	1	1	···	···	2	3
TMC2	1	1	0	···	···	···	···	2	3
B18-3	0	0	0	1	···	···	···	1	4
B18-5	0	0	-1	···	···	···	···	-1	3
CB22	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
TMC1	1	1	0	···	···	···	···	2	3
L1507A-1	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
CB23	1	0	0	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1517A	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1517B	0	-1	-1	···	···	···	···	-2	3
L1512-1	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1544	···	1	1	1	1	···	1	5	5
L1552	0	1	0	···	1	···	···	2	4
L1582A	0	0	0	···	1	···	···	1	4
L1622A-2	1	0	-1	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1622A-1	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
CB45	0	0	-1	···	···	···	···	-1	3
DC3392+161-4	···	···	···	···	-1	···	···	-1	1
L134A	0	0	-1	···	···	···	···	-1	3
L183	1	1	-1	1	1	-1	···	2	6
L1696A	1	0	0	···	1	···	···	2	3
L1696B	0	0	1	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1704-1	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1709B-2	0	0	1	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1689B	0	0	0	1	1	···	···	2	5
L1782-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L158	1	1	1	1	···	···	···	4	4
L204C-2	0	1	0	···	···	···	···	1	3
L234E-S	1	1	-1	···	1	···	···	2	4
L63	-1	···	1	···	···	···	···	0	2
L462-1	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L462-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L492	1	1	1	···	···	1	0	4	5
CB130-1	···	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	2
CB130-3	···	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	2
L429-1	···	1	0	0	1	···	0	2	5
L648-1	0	···	0	···	···	···	···	0	2
L673-7	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L694-2	1	1	1	1	1	1	···	6	6
L1041-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L922-1	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L922-2	1	0	0	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1049-1	-1	0	0	···	···	···	···	-1	3
L1049-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1148	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1155C-2	1	0	0	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1155C-1	1	1	0	1	1	···	···	4	5
L1155E	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1082C-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L981-1	0	0	-1	···	···	···	···	-1	3

TABLE 1—*Continued*

Source	From δV			From T_b/T_r				rating	N
	[CS(2-1)	CS(3-2)	DCO^+(2-1)]	[CS(2-1)	CS(3-2)	DCO^+(2-1)	N_2H^+(1-0)]	index (C)	
L1063	0	0	0	···	1	···	···	1	4
L944-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1251A-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1197	0	0	0	···	···	1	···	1	4
L1251	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1234	1	1	0	···	···	···	···	2	3
CB246-2	-1	-1	0	-1	-1	···	···	-4	5

[a]The table gives a summary of line asymmetry colors of starless cores with CS (2-1) & N_2H^+ (1-0) observations (LMT99), and CS(3-2) & DCO^+(2-1) observations (this study). The column 1 lists the name of source, and the columns 2 to 4 illustrate a diagnostics from the normalized velocity differences (δV) of CS(2-1), CS(3-2) and DCO^+(2-1) with respect to N_2H^+ velocities from LMT99. The numeric numbers '1' or '-1' are given for sources with blue or red asymmetry of $|\delta V| \geq 5\ \sigma_{\delta V}$, and '0' for sources with neither asymmetry of $|\delta V| \leq 5\ \sigma_{\delta V}$. The columns 5 to 8 shows other diagnostics for the line asymmetry colors using the ratio of intensities of blue component (T_b) and red component (T_r) obtained from the Gaussian fit for double-peaked spectra of CS(2-1), CS(3-2), DCO^+(2-1), and N_2H^+(1-0) main component. Here '1', '0', or '-1' are designated for the sources of $T_b/T_r > 1+\sigma$, $1-\sigma \leq T_b/T_r \leq 1+\sigma$, or $T_b/T_r < 1-\sigma$, respectively, where σ is one propagation error at each value of the ratio. The column 9 gives the algebraic sum of the individual color codes of each source, a rating index C. The N in the last column is the total number of spectra considered for color codes of each core.

This figure "f1-3.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f3.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

arXiv:astro-ph/0404517v1 27 Apr 2004

TABLE 1

INFALL SPEEDS AND OPTICAL DEPTHS DERIVED FROM THE TWO LAYERS FITS OF CS $(3-2)$ AND (2-1) LINES [a]

Source	$\tau_{0,21}$ (H)	$V_{in,21}$(H) (km s^{-1})	$\tau_{0,21}$ (F)	$V_{in,21}$ (F) (km s^{-1})	$\tau_{0,32}$	$V_{in,32}$(F) (km s^{-1})
L1498	1.68	0.033	1.53	0.020	1.21	0.023
L1445	0.91	0.046			0.91	0.068
L1521F	1.69	0.014			1.45	0.045
L1544	2.44	0.020	2.71	0.015	1.98	0.026
L183	1.65	0.060	1.67	0.032	1.04	0.086
L1689B	1.46	0.037	1.73	0.037	1.40	0.059
L158	1.21	0.039			1.52	0.066
L234E-S			1.34	0.035	1.21	0.033
L694-2	1.69	0.051	1.92	0.077	1.32	0.088
L1155C-1			1.79	0.087	1.43	0.090

[a]The first column indicates the name of the source. The second to the 6th columns list the optical depths and infall speeds derived from the two layers fit for the Haystack CS(2-1), the FCRAO CS(2-1), and 12m CS(3-2) lines, respectively. The "21" and "32" designate CS(2-1) and (3-2). The "H" and "F" denote Haystack and FCRAO, respectively.

This figure "f1-4.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f4.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f1-5.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f5.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f1-6.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f6.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f1-7.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f7.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f8.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f9.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f10.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

This figure "f11.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0404517v1

arXiv:astro-ph/0404517v1 27 Apr 2004

TABLE 1

STARLESS CORES OBSERVED IN CS $(3-2)$ AND/OR DCO^+ $(2-1)$ LINES[a]

Source	RA (1950.0) $h\ m\ s$	DEC (1950.0) $^\circ\ '\ ''$	T_A^*(CS) (K)	$T_A^*(DCO^+)$ (K)	V_{CS} (km s^{-1})	V_{DCO^+} (km s^{-1})	δV_{CS}	δV_{DCO+}	Source Selection
L1333	02 21 10.2	75 13 32	0.36	1.13	3.85±0.01	3.91±0.00	0.04±0.03	0.19±0.02	1
L1355	02 48 41.4	68 43 36	0.90	0.22	-3.86±0.01	-3.71±0.01	-0.56±0.08	0.02±0.05	1
B5-1	03 44 26.4	32 46 45	0.56	0.21	9.58±0.01	9.65±0.01	···	···	1
L1498	04 07 50.0	25 02 05	0.77	0.41	7.73±0.00	7.89±0.01	-0.56±0.05	-0.16±0.05	1
L1399-1	04 20 00.5	55 01 00	0.25	0.27	3.23±0.01	3.32±0.01	-0.08±0.06	0.08±0.06	1
L1521B-2	04 20 32.8	26 33 11	0.51	0.24	6.44±0.00	6.45±0.01	-0.13±0.12	-0.13±0.13	1
L1399-2	04 20 58.3	54 52 48	0.23	0.29	3.57±0.03	3.42±0.01	0.31±0.07	-0.02±0.04	1
L1399-3	04 21 11.8	54 49 59	0.17	0.16	3.62±0.03	3.62±0.01	-0.04±0.08	-0.01±0.07	1
B217-2	04 25 04.5	26 14 16	1.27	1.10	6.84±0.00	6.90±0.00	-0.10±0.02	0.04±0.02	1
L1400A-2	04 25 05.8	54 39 40	≤ 0.06	···	···	···	···	···	1
L1521F	04 25 35.0	26 45 00	1.07	1.17	6.27±0.00	6.42±0.00	-0.72±0.02	-0.29±0.02	1
L1521-2	04 26 26.8	26 53 27	0.48	0.09	6.64±0.01	6.49±0.01	0.44±0.11	-0.19±0.09	1
L1400A	04 26 50.6	54 46 08	0.36	0.27	3.23±0.01	3.31±0.01	-0.44±0.07	-0.10±0.05	1
L1524-4	04 27 04.1	24 18 47	0.72	0.27	6.24±0.01	6.28±0.00	0.02±0.03	0.13±0.03	1
L1551S-2	04 28 03.5	18 09 09	0.19	0.07	6.59±0.01	6.62±0.03	-0.31±0.07	-0.25±0.10	1
L1445	04 28 28.8	46 31 01	0.36	0.07	5.22±0.01	5.32±0.01	···	···	1
TMC2	04 29 46.9	24 18 54	0.76	0.87	6.10±0.01	6.24±0.00	-0.48±0.06	-0.04±0.03	1
B18-3	04 31 04.6	24 03 32	0.87	0.29	6.85±0.01	6.84±0.00	-0.43±0.16	-0.49±0.17	1
B18-5	04 32 49.7	24 03 15	0.62	0.68	6.17±0.01	6.39±0.00	-0.26±0.06	0.33±0.06	1
CB22	04 37 30.2	29 47 13	0.53	0.34	5.99±0.00	6.03±0.01	-0.04±0.04	0.07±0.05	1
TMC1	04 38 29.0	25 39 01	2.10	0.75	5.77±0.00	5.92±0.00	-0.30±0.02	0.05±0.02	1
L1507A-1	04 39 29.0	29 38 07	0.60	0.63	6.21±0.01	6.23±0.01	-0.08±0.05	-0.02±0.04	1
CB23	04 40 22.0	29 33 36	0.54	0.35	6.04±0.00	6.07±0.01	-0.14±0.04	-0.07±0.04	1
L1517C-1	04 51 35.9	30 30 50	0.67	0.09	5.55±0.01	5.57±0.01	···	···	1
L1517A	04 51 58.7	30 28 04	0.59	0.20	5.80±0.00	5.81±0.01	-0.02±0.08	-0.01±0.09	1
L1517B	04 52 07.2	30 33 18	0.54	0.55	5.88±0.00	5.87±0.00	0.26±0.03	0.23±0.03	1
L1512-1	05 00 54.4	32 39 00	0.57	0.61	7.15±0.00	7.16±0.00	-0.01±0.04	-0.01±0.03	1
L1544	05 01 12.5	25 06 40	1.14	0.36	7.07±0.01	7.12±0.02	-0.27±0.03	-0.12±0.02	1
L1544-2	05 01 39.1	25 07 30	···	≤ 0.05	···	···	···	···	1
CB28	05 03 51.2	-04 00 20	1.04	0.26	8.80±0.01	8.97±0.01	···	···	1
L1552	05 14 31.6	26 02 07	1.23	1.13	7.59±0.01	7.68±0.00	-0.37±0.06	0.00±0.04	1
L1582A	05 29 15.0	12 28 57	0.72	0.58	10.08±0.01	10.28±0.01	-0.31±0.07	0.06±0.05	1
L1622A-2	05 52 02.9	01 53 15	0.88	0.85	1.11±0.01	1.19±0.00	-0.08±0.03	0.17±0.02	1
L1622A-1	05 52 17.1	01 56 55	1.03	0.93	1.21±0.01	1.21±0.00	0.09±0.03	0.07±0.02	1
L1622B	05 52 17.5	01 45 11	0.23	≤ 0.05	0.51±0.01	···	···	···	2
L1578-2	06 05 40.4	18 12 00	0.31	≤ 0.10	-0.42±0.01	···	···	···	2
CB45	06 06 02.0	17 50 48	0.27	0.56	0.42±0.01	0.56±0.01	0.07±0.04	0.31±0.04	1
V13-2	08 06 54.9	-35 54 21	0.83	0.06	5.98±0.01	6.08±0.04	···	···	2
V13-3	08 07 01.0	-35 48 22	0.82	0.07	6.36±0.02	6.32±0.03	···	···	2
V13-1	08 07 09.0	-35 52 18	1.31	0.31	6.24±0.01	6.35±0.02	···	···	2
DC3382+164	15 37 23.9	-34 30 40	0.88	0.40	4.70±0.01	4.67±0.01	···	···	2
DC3388+165-2	15 39 08.8	-33 41 27	···	≤ 0.08	···	···	···	···	2
DC3388+165-3	15 39 29.2	-33 42 30	0.38	0.07	4.46±0.01	4.61±0.03	···	···	2
DC3388+165-6	15 40 06.5	-34 04 01	0.35	0.24	5.30±0.01	5.34±0.02	···	···	2
DC3392+161-2	15 42 01.1	-34 03 59	0.55	≤ 0.09	5.36±0.02	···	···	···	2
DC3392+161-3	15 42 03.2	-34 11 21	0.44	0.26	5.05±0.01	5.07±0.02	···	···	2
DC3392+161-4	15 42 16.9	-34 15 19	0.55	0.21	4.44±0.01	4.38±0.02	···	···	2
L134A	15 50 58.1	-04 26 36	0.40	0.62	2.78±0.01	2.78±0.01	0.16±0.04	0.15±0.03	1
L183	15 51 30.0	-02 42 51	0.43	1.28	2.35±0.01	2.69±0.00	-0.59±0.05	0.80±0.02	1
L1696A	16 25 30.0	-24 11 42	1.47	2.81	3.39±0.00	3.37±0.00	-0.06±0.03	-0.17±0.04	1
L1696B	16 25 57.8	-24 14 09	0.97	2.19	3.28±0.00	3.25±0.00	-0.19±0.05	-0.32±0.06	1
L1704-1	16 27 49.8	-23 35 49	0.69	1.25	2.72±0.01	2.77±0.00	0.07±0.05	0.25±0.07	1
L1709A	16 27 50.0	-23 34 37	0.66	1.08	2.77±0.01	2.70±0.01	···	···	1
L1757-1	16 29 01.9	-19 35 24	0.10	···	1.48±0.00	···	···	···	1
L1709B-2	16 29 49.3	-23 46 22	1.20	1.23	2.57±0.00	2.52±0.00	-0.09±0.03	-0.25±0.03	1
L1689B	16 31 43.6	-24 31 40	1.58	0.88	3.39±0.00	3.53±0.01	-0.58±0.12	0.03±0.14	1
DC3460+78	16 33 34.7	-35 30 27	0.51	0.10	3.26±0.01	3.29±0.02	···	···	2
DC3464+79	16 34 13.4	-35 08 26	0.43	0.31	3.59±0.01	3.60±0.01	···	···	2
L1782-2	16 39 36.4	-19 38 00	0.66	0.38	5.38±0.01	5.43±0.01	-0.14±0.06	0.02±0.07	1
L158	16 44 37.7	-13 54 03	0.39	1.49	3.82±0.01	3.83±0.00	-0.38±0.05	-0.35±0.04	1
L204C	16 44 44.2	-12 04 27	0.52	≤ 0.24	4.31±0.01	···	···	···	1
L204C-2	16 44 58.5	-12 18 04	0.97	0.39	4.12±0.01	4.21±0.01	-0.33±0.06	-0.15±0.06	1
L234E-S	16 45 22.6	-10 52 10	1.18	1.17	2.93±0.00	3.29±0.00	-0.55±0.05	0.48±0.05	1

TABLE 1—*Continued*

Source	RA (1950.0) h m s	DEC (1950.0) $^\circ$ $'$ $''$	T_A^*(CS) (K)	T_A^*(DCO^+) (K)	V_{CS} (km s^{-1})	V_{DCO^+} (km s^{-1})	δV_{CS}	δV_{DCO^+}	Source Selection
L63	16 47 21.0	-18 00 10	0.50	1.52	5.96±0.01	5.72±0.01	···	-0.39±0.05	1
L462-1	18 04 51.9	-04 43 57	0.57	0.24	7.90±0.00	7.92±0.01	-0.06±0.04	-0.02±0.05	1
L462-2	18 04 56.9	-04 40 57	0.52	0.40	7.94±0.01	7.95±0.01	0.01±0.04	0.02±0.03	1
L492	18 13 11.6	-03 47 17	1.63	0.42	7.64±0.01	7.68±0.01	-0.23±0.02	-0.16±0.02	1
CB130-1	18 13 39.2	-02 34 06	0.49	0.51	7.63±0.01	7.65±0.01	-0.09±0.07	-0.05±0.07	1
CB130-3	18 13 41.5	-02 17 47	0.73	0.61	7.25±0.01	7.22±0.01	0.18±0.04	0.01±0.04	1
L429-1	18 14 28.2	-08 14 40	0.94	0.71	6.69±0.00	6.87±0.01	-0.64±0.07	-0.06±0.04	1
L429-2	18 14 32.8	-08 19 02	0.14	$\leq$ 0.07	6.59±0.02	···	···	···	1
L451	18 19 12.3	-07 14 11	0.14	···	8.65±0.03	···	···	···	1
L648-1	18 37 09.7	12 38 37	0.47	0.50	4.14±0.01	4.13±0.00	···	0.09±0.06	1
L673-7	19 19 14.3	11 15 30	0.56	0.68	7.09±0.01	7.15±0.00	-0.12±0.03	-0.02±0.02	1
L694-2	19 38 42.4	10 49 59	0.63	1.64	9.44±0.00	9.50±0.00	-0.60±0.02	-0.42±0.01	1
L1041-2	20 36 05.9	57 38 48	0.36	0.09	-1.76±0.01	-1.66±0.02	-0.06±0.07	0.19±0.09	1
L922-1	20 36 46.5	44 21 24	0.47	0.41	6.61±0.01	6.64±0.01	-0.09±0.04	-0.01±0.05	1
L922-2	20 37 04.6	44 25 20	0.59	0.38	6.51±0.01	6.52±0.01	-0.20±0.05	-0.20±0.08	1
L1049-1	20 39 50.8	57 16 45	0.43	0.29	0.28±0.02	0.23±0.01	0.23±0.05	0.12±0.04	1
L1049-2	20 40 16.8	57 22 57	0.28	0.43	0.10±0.01	0.10±0.01	-0.06±0.05	-0.08±0.05	1
L1148	20 40 38.7	67 09 48	0.75	0.17	2.56±0.00	2.62±0.01	-0.17±0.04	0.02±0.07	1
L1155C-2	20 42 52.1	67 39 36	0.41	0.32	1.35±0.01	1.41±0.01	-0.17±0.04	0.03±0.04	1
L1155C-1	20 43 00.0	67 41 47	0.42	0.37	2.58±0.01	2.72±0.01	-0.31±0.03	0.01±0.03	1
L1155E	20 43 46.3	67 31 02	0.20	0.06	2.46±0.01	2.40±0.04	0.01±0.09	-0.10±0.11	1
L1082C-2	20 48 38.9	60 04 25	0.55	0.59	-2.42±0.01	-2.47±0.00	0.29±0.06	0.11±0.04	1
L981-1	20 58 36.3	50 08 19	0.32	0.14	-0.12±0.01	0.12±0.01	-0.03±0.05	0.43±0.05	1
L1063	21 06 44.6	56 06 09	0.58	0.30	-0.92±0.01	-0.81±0.00	-0.36±0.09	-0.07±0.07	1
L944-2	21 15 54.0	43 05 41	0.30	0.44	5.30±0.02	5.42±0.00	-0.25±0.13	0.10±0.12	1
L1251A-2	22 26 46.5	74 58 10	0.27	0.68	-3.94±0.01	-3.90±0.01	-0.03±0.04	0.05±0.03	1
L1197	22 35 08.0	58 41 46	0.40	0.18	-3.16±0.01	-3.19±0.01	-0.12±0.05	-0.21±0.05	1
L1251	22 36 26.0	74 54 46	0.32	0.34	-3.56±0.02	-3.50±0.01	-0.07±0.05	0.03±0.04	1
L1234	23 15 47.5	62 10 15	0.52	0.49	-5.16±0.01	-5.04±0.01	-0.40±0.07	-0.10±0.06	1
CB246-2	23 54 12.0	58 17 47	0.60	0.65	-0.68±0.01	-0.83±0.01	0.43±0.06	-0.10±0.04	1
L1271-1	23 57 32.6	67 05 07	0.14	$\leq$ 0.06	-15.04±0.03	···	···	···	1

[a]The first column indicates the name of the observed region, and the second and third columns list the central coordinates in B1950 epoch. The 4th and 5th column give antenna temperatures of CS $(3-2)$ and DCO^+ $(2-1)$ spectra. For undetected lines three sigma values of the observed lines are given. The velocities of the detected lines listed in 6th and 7th column were obtained by Gaussian fits of the lines. All designated errors are 1 σ values from the fits. The 8th and 9th column list the normalized velocity differences (δV) of CS(3-2) and DCO^+ with respect to N_2H^+ velocities from LMT99. For example, δV_{CS32} is defined as $\delta V_{CS32} = \frac{V_{CS32} - V_{N_2H^+}}{\Delta V_{N_2H^+}}$. The 1σ propagation errors are given at each value of δV. The last column indicates the selection criterion for each source: 1 – selected from LMT99, and 2 – selected from LM99 catalog. L1271-1 source has two more velocity components of $V_{LSR} = -12.87, \ -6.96$ km s^{-1}.

TABLE 2

LINE ASYMMETRY COLORS OF STARLESS CORES[a]

Source	From δV			From T_b/T_r				rating	N
	[CS(2-1)	CS(3-2)	DCO^+(2-1)]	[CS(2-1)	CS(3-2)	DCO^+(2-1)	N_2H^+(1-0)]	index (C)	
L1333	0	0	-1	···	···	···	···	-1	3
L1355	1	1	0	1	1	···	···	4	5
L1498	1	1	0	1	1	···	···	4	5
L1399-1	0	0	0	···	1	···	···	1	4
L1521B-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1399-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1399-3	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
B217-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1521F	1	1	1	1	1	···	1	6	6
L1521-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1400A	0	1	0	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1524-4	1	0	0	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1551S-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1445	···	···	0	1	1	···	···	2	3
TMC2	1	1	0	···	···	···	···	2	3
B18-3	0	0	0	1	···	···	···	1	4
B18-5	0	0	-1	···	···	···	···	-1	3
CB22	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
TMC1	1	1	0	···	···	···	···	2	3
L1507A-1	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
CB23	1	0	0	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1517A	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1517B	0	-1	-1	···	···	···	···	-2	3
L1512-1	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1544	···	1	1	1	1	···	1	5	5
L1552	0	1	0	···	1	···	···	2	4
L1582A	0	0	0	···	1	···	···	1	4
L1622A-2	1	0	-1	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1622A-1	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
CB45	0	0	-1	···	···	···	···	-1	3
DC3392+161-4	···	···	···	···	-1	···	···	-1	1
L134A	0	0	-1	···	···	···	···	-1	3
L183	1	1	-1	1	1	-1	···	2	6
L1696A	1	0	0	···	1	···	···	2	3
L1696B	0	0	1	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1704-1	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1709B-2	0	0	1	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1689B	0	0	0	1	1	···	···	2	5
L1782-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L158	1	1	1	1	···	···	···	4	4
L204C-2	0	1	0	···	···	···	···	1	3
L234E-S	1	1	-1	···	1	···	···	2	4
L63	-1	···	1	···	···	···	···	0	2
L462-1	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L462-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L492	1	1	1	···	···	1	0	4	5
CB130-1	···	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	2
CB130-3	···	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	2
L429-1	···	1	0	0	1	···	0	2	5
L648-1	0	···	0	···	···	···	···	0	2
L673-7	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L694-2	1	1	1	1	1	1	···	6	6
L1041-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L922-1	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L922-2	1	0	0	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1049-1	-1	0	0	···	···	···	···	-1	3
L1049-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1148	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1155C-2	1	0	0	···	···	···	···	1	3
L1155C-1	1	1	0	1	1	···	···	4	5
L1155E	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1082C-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L981-1	0	0	-1	···	···	···	···	-1	3

TABLE 2—*Continued*

Source	From δV [CS(2-1)	CS(3-2)	DCO^+(2-1)]	From T_b/T_r [CS(2-1)	CS(3-2)	DCO^+(2-1)	N_2H^+(1-0)]	rating index (C)	N
L1063	0	0	0	···	1	···	···	1	4
L944-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1251A-2	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1197	0	0	0	···	···	1	···	1	4
L1251	0	0	0	···	···	···	···	0	3
L1234	1	1	0	···	···	···	···	2	3
CB246-2	-1	-1	0	-1	-1	···	···	-4	5

[a]The table gives a summary of line asymmetry colors of starless cores with CS (2-1) & N_2H^+ (1-0) observations (LMT99), and CS(3-2) & DCO^+(2-1) observations (this study). The column 1 lists the name of source, and the columns 2 to 4 illustrate a diagnostics from the normalized velocity differences (δV) of CS(2-1), CS(3-2) and DCO^+(2-1) with respect to N_2H^+ velocities from LMT99. The numeric numbers '1' or '-1' are given for sources with blue or red asymmetry of $|\delta V| \geq 5\ \sigma_{\delta V}$, and '0' for sources with neither asymmetry of $|\delta V| \leq 5\ \sigma_{\delta V}$. The columns 5 to 8 shows other diagnostics for the line asymmetry colors using the ratio of intensities of blue component (T_b) and red component (T_r) obtained from the Gaussian fit for double-peaked spectra of CS(2-1), CS(3-2), DCO^+(2-1), and N_2H^+(1-0) main component. Here '1', '0', or '-1' are designated for the sources of $T_b/T_r > 1+\sigma$, $1-\sigma \leq T_b/T_r \leq 1+\sigma$, or $T_b/T_r < 1-\sigma$, respectively, where σ is one propagation error at each value of the ratio. The column 9 gives the algebraic sum of the individual color codes of each source, a rating index C. The N in the last column is the total number of spectra considered for color codes of each core.

TABLE 3

INFALL SPEEDS AND OPTICAL DEPTHS DERIVED FROM THE TWO LAYERS FITS OF CS $(3-2)$ AND (2-1) LINES [a]

Source	$\tau_{0,21}$ (H)	$V_{in,21}$(H) (km s^{-1})	$\tau_{0,21}$ (F)	$V_{in,21}$ (F) (km s^{-1})	$\tau_{0,32}$	$V_{in,32}$(F) (km s^{-1})
L1498	1.68	0.033	1.53	0.020	1.21	0.023
L1445	0.91	0.046			0.91	0.068
L1521F	1.69	0.014			1.45	0.045
L1544	2.44	0.020	2.71	0.015	1.98	0.026
L183	1.65	0.060	1.67	0.032	1.04	0.086
L1689B	1.46	0.037	1.73	0.037	1.40	0.059
L158	1.21	0.039			1.52	0.066
L234E-S			1.34	0.035	1.21	0.033
L694-2	1.69	0.051	1.92	0.077	1.32	0.088
L1155C-1			1.79	0.087	1.43	0.090

[a]The first column indicates the name of the source. The second to the 6th columns list the optical depths and infall speeds derived from the two layers fit for the Haystack CS(2-1), the FCRAO CS(2-1), and 12m CS(3-2) lines, respectively. The "21" and "32" designate CS(2-1) and (3-2). The "H" and "F" denote Haystack and FCRAO, respectively.